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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The present research aimed to compare and follow-up the effect of 8-week corrective exercises with and without the myofascial release on functional kyphosis deformity in young adults.

Methods: It was a randomized controlled trial in the university laboratory. Forty-five male students suffering from abnormal hyperkyphosis deformity (kyphosis of more than 42°) were selected and randomly assigned to three groups: corrective exercises, combinational exercises (corrective and myofascial release), and control. The Mean±SD age, height, and weight of the study sample were 22.12±2.88 years, 175.44±6.80 cm, and 71.21±7.31 kg, respectively. The study groups did the exercises for 8 weeks, under the direct observation of the researchers. The kyphosis angle of the participants was measured using a flexible ruler, at the baseline, post-test, and follow-up (4 weeks after the end of the exercises) stages. The collected data were analyzed with repeated measures Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) tests.

Results: After 8 weeks of exercises, the degree of kyphosis in the post-test and follow-up stages was significantly lower than that in the pre-test, in both training groups. The results of the ANCOVA test demonstrated that the thoracic kyphosis degree in the combinational exercises group was significantly lower than that of the corrective exercises group (P≤0.05), in the post-test and follow-up stages.

Conclusion: According to the results, the corrective exercises program in combination with the myofascial release is more effective than the corrective exercises alone, in correcting the thoracic kyphosis deformity.
Introduction

Excessive thoracic curvature or hyperkyphosis deformity is a prevalent postural abnormality and a major factor of upper quarter pathologies [1]. The prevalence of vertebral column anomalies is about 32% and 60% among the adults and the elderly people, respectively [2]. Rajabi et al. (2010) reported a higher rate of hyperkyphosis anomaly in men [3]. Other studies reported the prevalence rate of 15.3% in children aged 11 years [4], 38% in people aged 20-50 years, and 35% in those aged 20-64 years for hyperkyphosis anomaly [5, 6].

The normal thoracic kyphosis angle of the Iranian population has been reported 42° in people aged 18 to 30 years by Rajabi et al. (2008); angles higher than this are considered as hyperkyphosis [3]. Hyperkyphosis negatively affects the physical condition and the quality of physical activities [7, 8]. Individuals with hyperkyphosis walk slowly and have difficulty in climbing stairs. The risks of falling, fracture, and mortality are higher in these people, because of imbalance and the increased level of the general oscillation of their bodies [7, 9]. This anomaly disrupts respiratory functions and daily activities, too [9]. Hyperkyphosis increases the biomechanical stresses applied to the vertebral column, the risk of osteoporosis in the vertebral column, and the compression fractures of the vertebrae [10, 11]. Katzman et al. (2016) studied the relationship between hyperkyphosis and the prevalence of spine fracture. They reported that the prevalence of spine fracture increases by 22% for every 10° increase in kyphosis [12].

Hyperkyphosis anomaly is treated and corrected through various methods, including manual therapy [13, 14], postural re-education [15, 16], using taping and orthoses [16], surgery, and therapeutic exercise [6, 17]. Therapeutic exercise is a common method. To manage kyphosis anomaly in patients, specialists often prescribe local therapeutic exercises, and recently, comprehensive and spine strengthening exercises [12, 18].

Sheikhhosseini et al. (2018) conducted a review study to examine the effectiveness of various corrective exercises for forward head posture anomaly (an upper crossed syndrome anomaly). Results revealed desirable effectiveness of interventions for reducing the angle of forward head posture, but no specific finding has shown the effectiveness of these exercises for the related pains. The authors have recommended further studies to elucidate this matter [19].

According to the theoretical findings, there is a mutual relationship among postural anomalies in the upper quarter region. In other words, postural anomalies increase the incidence of kyphosis anomalies. This condition can cause changes along with the head and neck of people with hyperkyphosis [20]. According to Vladimir Genda, this anomaly is closely related to the other parts of the body in the form of a chain reaction and the separate local correction of each part cannot be scientifically justified [21]. Therefore, corrective exercises must be developed and implemented to manage the problems of patients with this anomaly. These exercises include strengthening the vertebral column, increasing the mobility of the vertebral column, and improving the alignment of the vertebral column, simultaneously.

Muscular tightness and the stiffness of connective tissue are common musculoskeletal problems that may emerge due to diseases, strokes, lack of physical activity, or scarring. The reduced flexibility of the soft tissue and motional restriction can alter movement patterns and cause musculoskeletal anomalies [22, 23]. Many methods used for maintaining the flexibility of muscles emphasize different stretching exercises [24]. Other techniques that are vastly employed for improving muscle flexibility are heat [24, 25], massaging [26], proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation [27], muscle energy technique [28], and Myofascial Release (MFR) technique [29].

MFR technique is a manual technique used by the experts or the patient (self-myofascial release) to affect the fascia. Although it is not yet clear how these techniques work, their therapeutic effect has been clinically proven [30]. These techniques cause limited fascia stretching. Mild pressure for 90 to 120 seconds in the tissue increases flexibility in the limited tissue and the first release occurs afterward [31]. Tangible changes exist in the tissue structure and the end feel, that become palpable to the touch by the release of the superficial fascia. Next, the specialist applies more continuous and stable pressure without applying force downwards and towards the next resistive obstacle in the depth of the tissue, takes the tissue to a new range, and maintains it there; after some releases, the tissue becomes softer. The effects claimed for MFR techniques are similar to those reported for massing and soft tissue mobilization, including a change in blood circulation; the dilation of capillaries; and the stimulation of the nervous system, skin temperature, and metabolism. These changes increase the dilation and flexibility of the soft tissue, cause muscle relaxation, and reduce spasm and pain [30].
As noted before, a major complication of hyperkyphosis is stiffness or tightness created in the muscles of the segments in the vertebral region. If tightness and stiffness are resolved, the anomaly correction process will be facilitated and become more efficient. If this method is prescribed with an acceptable corrective protocol, it will have better results. The literature review showed that no study has examined the effectiveness of the simultaneous use of therapeutic exercises and MFR techniques for anomaly correction. Therefore, the present work aimed to examine the effectiveness of an 8-week protocol comprising corrective exercises with and without MFR. This study aimed to answer this question: which method is more effective for correcting hyperkyphosis? Moreover, the persistence of the effects of these methods is examined and compared after four weeks of follow-up.

Materials & Methods

This was a clinical trial examining the effect of corrective exercise protocol with and without MFR on hyperkyphosis in the experimental and control groups. The statistical population comprised non-athlete university male students with kyphosis postural anomaly (≥42°) aged 18 to 28 years in the Islamic Azad University of Zanjan, Iran. These students were identified by colleagues in physical education classes and introduced to the researchers. Then, based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the researchers selected 45 eligible participants and assigned them randomly to three groups: Corrective Exercises (CE), Corrective Exercises with MFR (CE+MFR), and control.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: The kyphosis postural anomaly of more than 42° measured by a flexible ruler, the forward head of more than 52°, the forward shoulder of more than 52° measured by imaging method, the age range of 18 to 28 years, and giving informed consent for voluntary participation. Also, the exclusion criteria were as follows: A history of fracture, surgery, or joint diseases in the vertebral column; shoulder girdle or pelvis; the lack of lower cross syndrome; the lack of regular physical activity; joining a championship or sports teams; having special occupational conditions (which could exacerbate kyphosis and prevent postural correction at the workplace). The participants who were unwilling to continue the exercises, absent in two consecutive exercise sessions, absent in three sessions of the 8-week exercise program, and absent in measurement sessions were excluded from the study.

Initially, the participants were selected based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. They signed the informed consent form and were randomly (by balloting) assigned to three groups (CE, CE+MFR, and control group). Then, they participated in the pre-test stage where the study variables (ie, kyphosis angle) were measured. This measurement was performed using a 50-cm flexible ruler (IDIO). First, two spinous processes (T2, T12) were determined as the beginning and end of the thoracic kyphosis curve, then, the measurement was performed while the participants were in a standing position.

In the next step, standard demographic information (height, weight, and age) was measured using a measuring tape and digital scales and recorded in the special forms. The control group continued with their normal daily activity without special exercise, while the first experimental group received corrective exercises with MFR and the second experimental group received only corrective exercises for eight weeks (60-min sessions, 3 times per week) under the direct supervision of the researchers. The exercises were adapted from the comprehensive and supplementary exercises of Katzman (2016) [32].

The exercise program (Figure 1) included three main sections: vertebral column strengthening exercises, vertebral column motility enhancement exercises, and vertebral column alignment enhancement exercises. These exercises aimed to correct musculoskeletal anomalies related to hyperkyphosis and were developed in a multifaceted manner in three sections. The first section aimed to strengthen the stability and motility of the upper body, the lower trapezius, vertebral column extensor muscles, multifidus and transversus abdominis, the back and thigh extensors, the middle gluteal muscles for maintaining standing stability and to increase the motility of the vertebral column during rotations and extension of the vertebral column. Movements in this section included supine transversus abdominis on the roller (Figure 1 Exercise No. 1), quadruped arm and leg lift (Figure 1 Exercise No. 2), prone trunk lift to neutral (Figure 1 Exercise No. 3), side-lying thoracic rotation/extension (Figure 1 Exercise No. 4), and side-lying hip abduction/external (lateral) rotation (Figure 1 Exercise No. 5).

Exercises in the second section aimed to increase the heart rate, core muscle temperature, the flexibility of the shoulder and rib cage, the stability of the torso, the motility of the shoulder and vertebral column, and also to strengthen the lower trapezius, serratus anterior, and scapula stability. Movements in this section included marching on the roller (Figure 1 exercise No. 6), unilateral overhead reaching on the roller (Figure 1 Exercise...
No. 7), bilateral pull-down supine on the roller (Figure 1 Exercise No. 8), shoulder flexion or thoracic extension at the wall (Figure 1 Exercise No. 9), wall push-ups (Exercise No. 10), and single-leg stance (Figure 1 Exercise No. 11).

The third section included stretching the pectoralis major; increasing the expandability of the rib cage and anterior thoracic wall; increasing the length of posterior thigh muscles, gluteal, gastrocnemius, and soleus muscles; and lengthening iliacus quadriceps, the vertebral column, and the trapezius. The movements in this section included chest/spine stretching on the supine roller (Figure 1 Exercise No. 12), gluteal stretching (exercise No. 13), supine straight-leg raise (Figure 1 Exercise No. 14), prone hip/quadriceps stretch (Figure 1 exercise No. 15), quadruped thoracic extension stretch (Figure 1 Exercise No. 16), and neck/chest stretch standing (Figure 1 Exercise No. 17).

The intensity of the exercises was increased during the study while emphasizing the good quality of movements. The participants maintained the intensity of exercises on the scores of 4 to 5 (70%-80%) based on the Burk’s scale. They also received verbal and visual feedback that taught them to maintain and improve the neutral state of the vertebral column alignment during the exercise program. They were trained to keep their head aligned with the pelvis during the exercise programs and daily activities, so the head was remained aligned with the vertebral column in the neutral and stable positions while they were bending the knee or pelvis. In this study, the images of the ideal alignment of the vertebral column in the neutral state during functional activities (sitting, standing, moving from sitting to standing position, and lying down) were prepared and given to the participants.

In this study, the cross-hand release technique was used for MFR (Figure 2). Areas that underwent release included anterior thoracic (pectoral area) and back area, especially its upper part. To perform the releases, the researchers applied continuous mild pressure to the area; using the Duncan method, two hands were moved in opposite directions to the end of the available range (barrier) and were kept there until release. According to Duncan, the release of collagen bonds needs 90 to 120 seconds. Moreover, this technique was repeated twice in each region [31].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise No.</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>30 s x 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>30 s x 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>30 s x 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>30 s x 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>30 s x 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The corrective exercises program
All the participants in the experimental and control groups were evaluated and their kyphosis angles were measured by a flexible ruler in the post-test stage (with the same procedure used in the pre-test). In each session, the corrective exercise group performed warming-up exercises while the hybrid group was receiving the MFR technique. After four weeks, the participants were again evaluated to measure the persistence of the effects of exercises on the follow-up.

The obtained data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics in SPSS-20. After ensuring the normality of data distribution using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, the repeated measures Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to specify within-group variations, and the Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) was employed to specify between-group variations. The test results were evaluated at the significance level of 95% (P<0.05).

Results

Table 1 presents the demographic information of the study sample. The results of the One-way ANOVA showed no significant difference among the three groups in terms of height, weight, and BMI (P>0.05); thus, the study groups were homogeneous.

The repeated measures ANOVA was performed between the three groups to compare the thoracic kyphosis angle of different positions, in the pre-test, post-test, and follow-up stages (within-group variations). Table 2 reports the results; repeated measures ANOVA showed a significant difference in the within-groups variations of kyphosis angle in both experimental groups (P<0.05).

Based on the significant results of ANOVA, the Bonferroni post-hoc test was used for the pairwise comparison of variations in different experimental stages. Results indicated a significant difference in the kyphosis angle of the CE group (Mean difference=6.61, P=0.001) and the CE±MFR group (Mean difference=8.26, P=0.001). Also, the thoracic kyphosis was significantly reduced after 8 weeks. In the follow-up stage (four weeks after the exercise program), the level of thoracic kyphosis was significantly reduced in the CE group (Mean difference=4.67, P=0.001) and the CE±MFR group (Mean difference=6.52, P=0.001) compared with the pre-test stage.

The ANCOVA was used to compare the effects of corrective exercises and corrective exercises with MFR on the thoracic kyphosis angle, in the post-test and follow-up. The pre-test was regarded as the covariate in these tests. Results showed a significant difference between the three groups, both in the post-test stage (P=0.001, F=263.13, partial η²=0.93) and in the follow-up stage (P=0.001, F=68.84, partial η²=0.78).

Considering the significance of repeated measures ANCOVA, the Bonferroni post-hoc test was used for the pairwise comparison of variations (Table 3). Results showed that the thoracic kyphosis angle of the CE±MFR group was significantly less than that of the CE and control groups, in the post-test stage (P<0.05). Moreover, the level of kyphosis in the CE group was significantly less than that of the control group (P<0.05).

Bonferroni test results showed that the thoracic kyphosis angle of the CE±MFR group was significantly less than that of the CE and control groups, in the follow-up stage (P<0.05). Moreover, the level of kyphosis in the CE group was significantly less than that of the control group (P<0.05).
The Standard Error of Measurement (SEM) was calculated to compute the reliability index of the kyphosis angle. The SEM is an index for the precision of measurement, the stability, and the reliability of the test. It shows the distribution of the repeated measurements of one person with the same device around the actual score [33]. To this end, the kyphosis angle of 15 people was measured three times with a 3-day interval; the SEM calculation represented the measurement precision of 0.6°.

**Discussion**

The present randomized controlled trial was conducted in 45 young men: 15 in the control group, 15 in the hybrid exercise group (corrective exercises±MFR), and 15 in the corrective exercise group (1-hour sessions, 3 times per week, for 8 weeks, under the direct supervision of the researchers). A significant difference, in terms of reduced kyphosis angle, was observed among the hybrid exercise and the corrective exercise groups, compared with the control group. Results showed that the kyphosis angle in the post-test was reduced by an average of 8° and 6° in the hybrid exercise and the corrective exercise groups, respectively, compared with the pre-test stage (P=0.001). Moreover, the results of ANCOVA indicated that the hybrid program had a more significant effect than the corrective exercise program. The effect size of the hybrid and the corrective exercise programs was 0.94 and 0.89, respectively; these are considered large effect sizes, based on Cohen’s d [34]. Furthermore, according to the SEM of 0.6°, the measure-

---

**Table 1. Demographic information of study sample (n=42)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>22.38±3.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CE±MFR</td>
<td>22.07±2.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>21.93±2.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>175.69±7.85</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CE±MFR</td>
<td>175.64±6.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>175±5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>70±5.76</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CE±MFR</td>
<td>70±5.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>72.73±7.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>23.87±3.36</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CE±MFR</td>
<td>22.78±2.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CE: Corrective Exercises; MFR: Myofascial Release; * One-way ANOVA; ** P≤0.05

**Table 2. Results of repeated measured ANOVA for the variations of kyphosis angle between experimental stages in the study groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>η²*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>47.73±2.97</td>
<td>96.67</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>41.11±1.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>43.04±1.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE±MFR</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>48.68±2.59</td>
<td>208.33</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>40.42±1.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>42.16±2.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>47.97±2.99</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>48.09±2.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>47.98±2.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Partial eta squared shows the effect size (the percent of post-test variance explained by the independent variable); **P≤0.05
Fascia is a specialized connective tissue covering the entire body. Histologically, it is formed of cells and extracellular matrix (consisting of collagen, elastin, and reticulin). Fascia demonstrates the characteristics of viscoelastic materials in response to force. Its visco property leads to permanent deformity, and its elastic property returns it to its resting length over time. When stress is applied to the fragile collagen cross-links, its length changes permanently, compared with the resting length. The MFR techniques are applied in the form of continuous and usually mild pressure (for 90-120 s), leading to the stretching of the elastic components of the elastic collagen matrix and continues until the release is stopped. During the release, the matrix material flows, causing the middle viscous material to turn from the cell state into a gel state [30]. It seems that the MFR technique used in this study (ie, cross-hand technique) breaks the cross-sectional connections (plastic deformity) and stimulates the matrix material (affecting elastic properties), thereby, enhancing the flexibility of the fascia tissue [30].

Increased muscular flexibility caused by MFR can also be explained neurobiologically. Ruffini ending is one of the neurons in the fascia and specifically responds to touching forces and lateral stretches. It seems that the Ruffini stimulations reduce the activity of the sympathetic nervous system. This finding is in line with most of the clinical findings that suggest techniques that slowly affect deep tissues and can apply relaxing effects both locally and whole body [35]. Researchers have also shown that the free ends of interneurons (types 3 and 4 that comprise 80% of sensory neurons) serve as mechanoreceptors and react to mechanical forces or pressure in the fascia [36]. Gelhourne (1967) showed that deep manual pressure, especially if exerted slowly and continuously on the fascia, stimulates intermediate and Ruffini mechanoreceptors, bodily fluids, and the local metabolism of the tissue, and also causes muscle and mental relaxation [37].

The stimulation of mechanoreceptors during the MFR technique changes the proprioception pulses that enter the central nervous system. These changes alter the motor units of the tissues. Moreover, the intense stimulation of intermediate receptors can directly affect the autonomic nervous system, thus, it leads to the exit of plasma from the veins, alters the viscosity of the extracellular matrix, and turns the matrix material from the cell state into the gel state [35]. These beneficial effects of MFR strengthen the back extensor, deep muscles, and the central area of the body. These changes lead to a significant improvement that was observed in the hybrid exercise program.

The implementation of a strong exercise protocol of the vertebral column plus stretching exercises can reduce the angle of kyphosis, in hyperkyphosis [12]. The muscles of the torso contribute to the control of movements and stability of the vertebral column. Deep muscles and the central area of the body play a vital role in the stability and control of inter-vertebrate movements; they stabilize the vertebral column even in dynamic states. In the exercise protocol of this study, it was attempted to strengthen deep and central muscles as well as the back extensor muscles. The other objectives of our exercise protocol were the stretching of pectoral muscles, and increasing the expandability of the rib cage.

anterior thoracic wall, and the mobility of the vertebral column. In this exercise program, we attempted to perform body mass exercises with the closed motion chain on the foam roller [32]. According to previous studies, a series of changes occurs in skeletal muscles after performing the resistance exercises. These changes increase the total contractile protein, especially in myosin cords, the amount and strength of connective tissue cords, the density of capillaries, the number of cords, and the longitudinal division of muscle cords, which consequently increase muscle endurance. Also, the stretching exercises coordinate agonist and antagonist muscles [38]. Therefore, the exercises performed in this study elongated thoracic anterior muscles and strengthened back and central muscles, thereby, reduced the anomalies.

In this study, further effectiveness was observed for reducing the kyphosis angle, after the combination of corrective exercises with MFR. This correction was 8°, and demonstrates the further effectiveness of hybrid exercises, compared with corrective exercises and the previous studies with other techniques. Comprehensive strength and stretching exercises in combination with MFR released the myofascial tissue and coordinated agonist and antagonist muscle groups, thus, significantly decreased the kyphosis angle, compared with corrective exercises alone. Moreover, postural exercises were taught through verbal and visual feedback methods to the experimental groups, and they used these strategies during the course and daily activities. They were instructed to keep the neutral alignment of the vertebral column in all conditions and improve this state during the exercises. They were also trained to keep their head aligned with the pelvis during exercise programs and daily activities, so the head was remained aligned with the vertebral column in the neutral and stable positions while they were bending the knee or pelvis [32].

Katzman et al. (2017) used a similar protocol for women and men with 60 years of age and the thoracic kyphosis of more than 40° [39]. After six months of exercise, the results of measurement with kyphometer showed that thoracic kyphosis was significantly reduced (3.8°); this result is consistent with the present findings. In their study, the effect of age on the degree of thoracic kyphosis can be considered as the main reason for the small reduction of kyphosis angle. Moreover, some participants had idiopathic bone kyphosis that could have affected their results. Seydi et al. (2014) examined the effect of comprehensive and local corrective exercises on kyphosis. They concluded that compared with local exercises, comprehensive exercises led to the further reduction of thoracic kyphosis [20]. Czaprowsky et al. (2014) aimed to perform the active correction of the kyphosis state by training and promoting the participants: “not to slouch.” They observed a significant improvement in the kyphosis and lordosis of 249 individuals aged 10-14 years; this improvement was only performed by training how to actively keep the back straight while sitting and standing [40]. In a review study, Bensal et al. (2014) reported that studies on the effects of exercise intervention on kyphosis typically have low quality or small sample sizes, and most of them reported moderate effectiveness for improving the kyphosis angle [41].

Based on the significant reduction of kyphosis angle in both experimental groups, compared with the control group, and its more significant reduction in the hybrid exercise group than in the corrective exercise group, it can be concluded that the corrective exercises protocol in combination with MFR had desirable effectiveness for decreasing the back kyphosis angle. Various studies have emphasized the importance and applicability of MFR techniques. However, this was the first study that used this technique in combination with corrective exercises on hyperkyphosis and reported its high effectiveness. Therefore, we recommend using MFR, as an important and effective factor, in combination with corrective exercises to resolve thoracic kyphosis anomalies. On the other hand, the exercises had high persistence, because the effectiveness of the interventions persisted on for a 4-week follow-up, in both groups.

In this study, the researchers tried to correct anomalies in young people after reviewing all the relevant studies in Iran and other countries, considering the review studies of the effects of exercise interventions on kyphosis anomalies (which mostly recommended the simultaneous use of strengthening and stretching exercises in combination with postural exercises), and by combining these exercises with MFR as a recommended effective method. The exercises performed in this study were similar to the comprehensive and supplementary exercises of Katzman and were based on a set of comprehensive corrective exercises developed in previous studies for hyperkyphosis correcting (Katzman, 2017). While implementing the MFR, the comprehensive protocol of strength exercises, and simultaneous stretching exercises, for the vertebral column, the researchers also used postural exercises to enhance the effectiveness of exercises for the hybrid exercise group. Thus, the hybrid exercises had a lasting effect and significantly reduce kyphosis, compared with the corrective exercises.
Conclusion

According to the results of the present study, the proposed corrective exercise protocol in combination with the MFR reduces thoracic hyperkyphosis, and its effect persists on the follow-up. Thus, researchers and specialists are recommended to use MFR, as a novel and effective method, in combination with corrective exercises to correct anomalies.
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